IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2513 OF 2005

Harekrishna Chowdhary & Anr ...Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Tanvir Shaikh, Advocate for Applicants.

Mr. Abhijit Kadam i/b Mr. K.S. Dewal, Adv. for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Rajesh More, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.
DATED: 31ST AUGUST, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicants and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicants have prayed for quashing of process issued against them in Criminal Case No. 257/S/2003 which is pending in the Court of learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 27th Court, Mulund, Mumbai. In the said case, process has been issued against the applicants under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.213 of 2005 and order dated 21st June, 2005 passed in

Criminal Application No.550 of 2005, the applicants have an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my opinion, it would be appropriate that the applicants prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the applicants to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the applicants states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks from today. The learned advocate for the respondent No.2 i.e. original complainant fairly states that no objection would be raised in respect of delay in filing revision before the learned Sessions Judge.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the applicants before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Application is disposed of.

*****